Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/787,093	SCHONENBERG ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Uyen-Chau N. Le	2876
All Participants: Status of Application:		
(1) <u>Uyen-Chau N. Le</u> .	(3)	•
(2) <u>Darrell E. Williams</u> .	(4)	•
Date of Interview: 20 January 2006	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ A Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	Applicant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: 23, 35-42, 45, 57, 66-71 Prior art documents discussed:		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE	SENERAL NATURE OF WHA	AT WAS DISCUSSED:
Mr. Williams authorized the Examiner amendment to place proper support) by substituting "capable of" with configu	e the instant application in the pr	oper form for an allowance (i.e., to have
Part III.		
 ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separative directly resulted in the allowance of the application of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separation of all issues. A brief such as the provide in the provide and the pro	on. The examiner will provide arate record of the substance	a written summary of the substance of the interview, since the interview
······		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (App	plicant/Applicant's Represent	ative Signature – if appropriate)